

THE $C_0(X)$ -ALGEBRA OF A NET AND INDEX THEORY

GIUSEPPE RUZZI¹ AND EZIO VASSELLI² *

¹Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”,
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma, Italy.

`ruzzi@mat.uniroma2.it`

²Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “La Sapienza”,
Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Roma, Italy.

`ezio.vasselli@gmail.com`

Abstract

Given a connected and locally compact Hausdorff space X with a good base K we assign, in a functorial way, a $C_0(X)$ -algebra to any precosheaf of C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}$ defined over K . Afterwards we consider the representation theory and the Kasparov K -homology of $\mathcal{A}$, and interpret them in terms, respectively, of the representation theory and the K -homology of the associated $C_0(X)$ -algebra. When $\mathcal{A}$ is an observable net over the spacetime X in the sense of algebraic quantum field theory, this yields a geometric description of the recently discovered representations affected by the topology of X .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Nets of C^* -algebras	3
2.2	$C_0(X)$ -algebras and the homotopy group	5
3	Nets and presheaves of C^*-algebras	7
3.1	Presheaves of C^* -algebras	7
3.2	The presheaf defined by a $C_0(X)$ -algebra	8
4	From nets of C^*-algebras to $C_0(X)$-algebras	9
4.1	The $C_0(X)$ -algebra of a net	10
4.2	The case of injective nets	15
4.3	$C_0(X)$ -representations of nets	16
5	K-homology and index	17
A	Basics of representable KK-theory	19

*Both the authors are supported by the EU network “Noncommutative Geometry” MRTN-CT-2006-0031962.

1 Introduction

Let X be a space and K denote the partially ordered set (*poset*) given by a base of X ordered under inclusion. In the present work we proceed on studying the (noncommutative) geometric invariants of X encoded by K , following the research line of [17].

The interest on this question arises from the algebraic formulation of quantum field theory over the (possibly curved) spacetime X , an approach where the basic mathematical objects are a net of C^* -algebras over K and the set of its Hilbert space representations of physical interest, called the *sectors* of the net. Geometric effects on quantum systems, the most famous of which is the Aharonov-Bohm effect, are known since the late fifties, nevertheless topological invariants have been discovered in the analysis of sectors only in recent times (see [4, 5, 16, 3]), and since these are expressed in terms of the poset rather than the space, the question of representing them as genuinely geometric objects arises.

Nets of C^* -algebras appeared in quantum field theory in terms of pairs $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$, where $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathcal{A}_Y\}$ is a family of C^* -algebras indexed by the elements of K and $\{j_{Y'Y} : \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{Y'}, Y \subseteq Y'\}$ is a family of $*$ -monomorphisms fulfilling the relations

$$j_{Y''Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y} = j_{Y''Y}, \quad Y \subseteq Y' \subseteq Y'',$$

the basic idea being that each $\mathcal{A}_Y$ is the C^* -algebra of quantum observables localized in the region $Y \subset X$. This notion can clearly be given in other categories, as the ones of (topological) groups and Hilbert spaces; in recent times, a particular attention has been given to *net bundles*, i.e. nets such that every $j_{Y'Y}$ is an isomorphism ([17]).

The reader experienced in algebraic topology can recognize that what we call a *net* is, as a matter of fact, a *precosheaf*¹, thus it is expectable that these objects actually encode non-trivial geometric invariants of X . Some relevant results in this direction have been proved, showing a strong interplay at the level of homotopy. We just mention the following two facts: (i) The fundamental group $\pi_1(K)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ ([16]); (ii) The category of net bundles over K is equivalent to the ones of locally constant bundles over X and of $\pi_1(X)$ -dynamical systems ([17, 18]). In this last case the geometric meaning of the $\pi_1(X)$ -action is given by holonomy and is central in the theory of net bundles.

In accord to the previous considerations we have a (noncanonical) equivalence between the category of C^* -net bundles and the one of locally constant C^* -bundles. In the present work we show that any net of C^* -algebras can be interpreted as a precosheaf of local sections of a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. This extends, this time in a canonical way, the equivalence proved at the level of net bundles to a functor from the category of nets of C^* -algebras to the one of $C_0(X)$ -algebras. Consequently, the sectors studied in [4, 3] can be understood as $C_0(X)$ -representations over locally constant bundles of Hilbert spaces. This will allow us to define, using the Cheeger-Chern-Simon character ([6]), an additive characteristic class on the set of sectors generalizing the statistical dimension, a well-known invariant in algebraic quantum field theory ([19]).

As an application of the previous results we consider *nets of Fredholm modules*, a generalization of a notion introduced in [13, §6] to describe sectors in terms of the index theory: we extract

¹The term *net*, standard in algebraic quantum field theory, shall be used in this paper as a synonym of *precosheaf*.

a geometric content from these objects, showing that they define cycles in the representable K -homology of the $C_0(X)$ -algebra defined by $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$.

The present paper is organized as follows.

In §3 we describe the interplay between presheaves, nets and $C_0(X)$ -algebras.

In §4 we define a functor from nets of C^* -algebras to $C_0(X)$ -algebras. The $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ associated to a net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ fulfils a universal property, namely the one of lifting any *heteromorphism* from $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ to a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, defined in a suitable way. This notion is central in our work and is introduced in §3.2.

Finally, in §5 we study nets of Fredholm modules and show that these can be interpreted as continuous families of Fredholm operators, that is, cycles in the representable K -homology $RK^0(\mathcal{A})$ defined by Kasparov in [11] (see Appendix §A).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some background notions relative to nets of C^* -algebras and $C_0(X)$ -algebras.

2.1 Nets of C^* -algebras

We give some recent results on net of C^* -algebras. Details can be found in [20].

Posets. Let $(K, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set (*poset*). We shall denote the elements of K by Latin letters o, a . We shall write $a < o$ to indicate that $a \leq o$ and $a \neq o$. A poset K is said to be *upward (downward) directed* whenever for any pair $o_1, o_2 \in K$ there is $o \in K$ with $o_1, o_2 \leq o$ ($o \leq o_1, o_2$). If $a \in K$ and $\omega \subseteq K$, then we write $a \leq \omega$ if, and only if, $a \leq o$ for any $o \in \omega$.

The notions of pathwise connectedness and the homotopy equivalence relation can be introduced on an abstract poset K in terms of a simplicial set associated to K [15, 17]. This leads to the first homotopy group $\pi_1^a(K)$ of K with respect to a base element $a \in K$. However, for the purposes of the present paper, it is not necessary to introduce the explicit definitions in terms of the simplicial set. It is enough to recall the following facts: if K is pathwise connected, then $\pi_1^a(K)$ does not depend, up to isomorphism, on the choice of the base point a ; this isomorphism class, written $\pi_1(K)$, is the fundamental group of K ; K is said to be *simply connected* whenever $\pi_1(K)$ is trivial. In particular, if K is either upward or downward directed then K is simply connected.

In the present paper *when K is a not specified poset we shall always assume that it is pathwise connected.*

Actually, the posets we shall deal in the present paper arise as good basis K , ordered under inclusion, of topological spaces X , that is, basis of arcwise and simply connected open subsets of X . *As a consequence, we shall always assume that the connected, locally compact, Hausdorff space X has a good base* (so that X is locally arcwise and simply connected). Under these hypotheses, the poset K inherits from the space X the following properties: it turns out that K is *pathwise connected* and, for all $a \in K$ and $x \in a$, there is an *isomorphism*

$$\pi_1^a(K) \rightarrow \pi_1^x(X)$$

(see [16]). Connected manifolds, that are the class of spaces in which we are interested in, fulfil all the above properties.

Nets of C*-algebras. A *net of C*-algebras* over a poset K is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a collection of unital C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_o$, $o \in K$, called the *fibres* of the net, and j is a collection of unital *-monomorphisms $j_{o'o} : \mathcal{A}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{o'}$, for any $o \leq o'$, the *inclusion morphisms*, fulfilling the *net relations*

$$j_{o''o'} \circ j_{o'o} = j_{oo''} , \quad o \leq o' \leq o'' . \quad (2.1)$$

If $S \subset K$ and $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is a net then $(\mathcal{A}, j)_S$ is itself a net, called the *restriction* of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ over S .

The easiest example of a net of C*-algebras is that of *the constant net* of C*-algebras which is naturally associated to a C*-algebra A (that is, $j_{o'o} \equiv id_A$, $\forall o \leq o'$). We shall denote this net by the same symbol A as that denoting the constant fibre. The *vanishing net* is a constant net A such that $A = 0$.

A *morphism* $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, y)_K$ is a family of *-morphisms $\phi_o : \mathcal{A}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_o$, for any $o \in K$, such that

$$\phi_{o'} \circ j_{o'o} = y_{o'o} \circ \phi_o , \quad o \leq o' . \quad (2.2)$$

We say that ϕ is a *morphism into a C*-algebra* in the case that the codomain net $(\mathcal{B}, y)_K$ is a constant net B . We denote these morphisms by $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow B$. We say that $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is *trivial* if it is isomorphic to a constant net.

From the categorical point of view a net of C*-algebras is a functor from a poset, considered as a category, to the category of unital C*-algebras; morphisms of nets of C*-algebras are natural transformations of the corresponding functors. In what follows we shall deal with nets taking values in other target categories. A net of *Banach spaces* is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, V)_K$, where $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_o\}$ is a family of Banach spaces and $V := \{V_{o'o}\}_{o' \geq o}$ is a family of injective, bounded operators fulfilling the net relations. In particular, when each fibre $\mathcal{H}_o$, $o \in K$, is a Hilbert space and any operators $V_{o'o} : \mathcal{H}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{o'}$, $o' \geq o$, is an isometry we say that $(\mathcal{H}, V)_K$ is a net of *Hilbert spaces*.

Net bundles. When every inclusion morphism of a net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is invertible we say that $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is a *C*-net bundle*. Since the morphisms $j_{o'o}$, $o \leq o'$, are invertible, it makes sense to consider the inverses $j_{o'o}^{-1}$ and to be concise we will write $j_{oo'} := j_{o'o}^{-1}$.

As a consequence of pathwise connectedness of K and of the invertibility of the inclusion morphisms, any C*-net bundle is, up to isomorphism, of the form $(A, j)_K$ i.e. a net bundle whose fibres are all equal to a unique C*-algebra A , called the *standard fibre*, and whose inclusion maps j_{oo} are *automorphisms* of A (see [20, §3.3] for details).

In the same way we will talk about Banach and Hilbert net bundles, where, in the latter case, the inclusion morphisms are unitary operators.

Example 2.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite set and $X' \subset \mathbb{C}$ a regular, bounded open subset such that $S \subset X'$. We set $X := X' - S$ and fix a good base K for X . For each $Y \in K$ we consider the Banach space $\mathcal{O}_Y^S$ of functions holomorphic in Y having a meromorphic extension to X such that the set of poles is contained in S . In this way we get the Banach net bundle $(\mathcal{O}^S, j)_K$, where $j_{Y'Y}$, $Y \subseteq Y'$, is the (non-isometric) operator defined by analytic continuation.

Representations. A *representation* of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ into a *Hilbert net bundle* $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ is a morphism

$$\pi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K , \quad (2.3)$$

where $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$ is the C*-net bundle defined by $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ having fibres the C*-algebra of bounded operators $B(\mathcal{H}_o)$, $o \in K$, and net structure defined by adjoint action of the $U_{o'o}$'s. We say that π is *faithful* whenever π_o is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{A}_o$ on $\mathcal{H}_o$ for any $o \in K$, and say that π is *trivial* whenever $\pi_o = 0$ for any o .

Nets of C*-algebras are classified according to their representations. A net of C*-algebras

is said to be *non-degenerate* if it admits non-trivial representations, and *injective* if it admits faithful representations. Examples of nets exhausting the above classification can be found in [20]. See [21] for examples of injective nets remarkable for conformal quantum field theory.

Remark 2.2. In the context of the Algebraic Quantum Field Theory it is customary to consider Hilbert space representations, i.e. morphisms from $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ into the single C^* -algebra $B(H)$. It was only recently that the more general notion of representation (2.3) has been considered [4, 3]. These two notions agree when the net is defined on a simply connected poset. In the non simply connected case there are examples of nets having faithful representations but no non-trivial Hilbert space representations [20, Ex.A.9, Ex.5.8(i)].

The universal C^* -algebra and the enveloping net bundle. The *universal C^* -algebra* is by definition the C^* -algebra $\vec{A}$ lifting any C^* -algebra morphism of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$: that is, there is a canonical morphism $\epsilon : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \vec{A}$ such that for any $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow B$ there is unique $\phi^\uparrow : \vec{A} \rightarrow B$ with $\phi = \phi^\uparrow \circ \epsilon$. This notion has been introduced by Fredenhagen ([8]), as a tool for analyzing the superselection structure of nets in conformal field theory.

The representation theory of a net is not completely encoded in the universal C^* -algebra since *only* Hilbert space representation of the net lift to the universal C^* -algebra (see previous remark). However it turns out that to any net of C^* -algebras $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ corresponds a C^* -net bundle $(\vec{A}, \vec{j})_K$, the *enveloping net bundle* lifting, as above, a net bundle morphism of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$. In particular, representations of the net are in 1-1 correspondence with those of the enveloping net bundle.

The universal C^* -algebra *forgets* the topology of the poset K (the fundamental group), information which is naturally encoded in the enveloping net bundle. Actually when the poset is simply connected these two notions agree: the standard fibre $\vec{A}_o$ of the enveloping net bundle is isomorphic to the universal C^* -algebra $\vec{A}$ (for details, see [20]).

In the present paper we shall use the notion of universal C^* -algebra to construct the fibres of our $C_0(X)$ -algebras: given $x \in X$ and the downward directed poset $\omega_x := \{Y \in K : x \in Y\}$ (which is simply connected, see [17, §3.2]) we shall define our fibre on x as the universal C^* -algebra of the restricted net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_{\omega_x}$.

2.2 $C_0(X)$ -algebras and the homotopy group

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A $C_0(X)$ -algebra is a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ having a nondegenerate morphism from $C_0(X)$ to the centre of the multiplier algebra $M\mathcal{B}$. Assuming for simplicity that this morphism is faithful we identify elements of $C_0(X)$ with their image in $M\mathcal{B}$ and write $fT \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \in C_0(X)$, $T \in \mathcal{B}$. A C^* -morphism η from $\mathcal{B}$ to the $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}'$ is said to be a $C_0(X)$ -morphism whenever $\eta(fT) = f\eta(T)$, $\forall f \in C_0(X)$, $T \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $C_x(X)$ denote the ideal of functions vanishing on $x \in X$; then the closed linear span $C_x(X)\mathcal{B}$ generated by elements of the type fT , $f \in C_x(X)$, $T \in \mathcal{B}$, is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{B}$ and this yields the family of C^* -quotients

$$r^x : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x := \mathcal{B} / \{C_x(X)\mathcal{B}\}, \quad x \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

It can be proved that the *norm function* $n_T(x) := \|r^x(T)\|$, $x \in X$, is upper semicontinuous and vanishes at infinity for every $T \in \mathcal{B}$ [14, 2], and we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a *continuous C^* -bundle* whenever n_T is continuous for any $T \in \mathcal{B}$. Defining

$$r(T) := \{r^x(T)\} \in \prod_x \mathcal{B}_x, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{B}, \quad (2.5)$$

yields an immersion $\mathcal{B} \subset \prod_x \mathcal{B}_x$, and this leads from the formalism of $C_0(X)$ -algebras to the one originally used by Dixmier and Douady (see [7, Chap.10]).

When X is compact analogous considerations hold (without requiring the property of vanishing at infinity for elements of $\mathcal{B}$), and we use the term $C(X)$ -algebra.

Locally constant bundles. Let now X be paracompact and $\mathcal{B}$ a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. For any $Y \subset X$ we consider the closed ideal $C_0(Y)\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$. Given the open cover $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ and a C^* -algebra B , an *atlas* of $\mathcal{B}$ is given by a family η of $C_0(X_i)$ -isomorphisms

$$\eta_i : C_0(X_i)\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_0(X_i) \otimes B \quad , \quad i \in I \quad ,$$

and defines $C_0(X_i \cap X_j)$ -isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{ij} := \eta_i \circ \eta_j^{-1} : C_0(X_i \cap X_j) \otimes B \rightarrow C_0(X_i \cap X_j) \otimes B \quad ,$$

that we regard as maps $\alpha_{ij} : X_i \cap X_j \rightarrow \mathbf{aut} B$.

We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is *locally constant* whenever it has an atlas η such that any α_{ij} is constant on the connected components of $X_i \cap X_j$. In the sequel we will denote a locally constant C^* -bundle by $(\mathcal{B}, \eta)$. Let now $(\mathcal{B}', \eta')$ denote a locally constant C^* -bundle, and $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ a $C_0(X)$ -morphism. Then any

$$\phi_{i'i} := \eta'_{i'} \circ \phi \circ \eta_i^{-1} : C_0(X_i \cap X'_{i'}) \otimes B \rightarrow C_0(X_i \cap X'_{i'}) \otimes B'$$

can be regarded as a continuous map

$$\phi_{i'i} : X_i \cap X'_{i'} \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}(B, B') \quad , \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathbf{hom}(B, B')$ is the space of morphisms from B into B' endowed with the pointwise convergence topology. We say that ϕ is *locally constant* whenever each $\phi_{i'i}$ is a locally constant map (that is, $\phi_{i'i}$ is constant on any connected component), and in this case we write

$$\phi : (\mathcal{B}, \eta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}', \eta') \quad .$$

Now in general a $C_0(X)$ -morphism is not locally constant, so locally constant $C_0(X)$ -algebras form a *non-full* subcategory of the one of $C_0(X)$ -algebras. The notion of locally constant bundle can be given for generic spaces, in particular topological groups and Hilbert (Banach) spaces ([12, §I.2]); this is indeed the common setting of this notion, rather than the one of C^* -algebras.

Remark 2.3. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then applying [17, Theorem 31] to $G = \mathbf{aut} A$ we obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{lc}(X, A) \simeq \mathbf{net}(K, A) \simeq \mathbf{dyn}(\pi_1(X), A) \quad ,$$

where:

- (i) $\mathbf{lc}(X, A)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of locally constant C^* -bundles over X with fibre (isomorphic to) A ;
- (ii) $\mathbf{net}(K, A)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of C^* -bundles over K with fibre A ;
- (iii) $\mathbf{dyn}(\pi_1(X), A)$ is the set of equivalence classes, under adjoint action of $\mathbf{aut} A$, of actions of $\pi_1(X)$ on A .

An analogous result holds in the setting of Hilbert spaces, by replacing A with a Hilbert space H and $\mathbf{aut} A$ with the unitary group.

Example 2.4. Let A be a C^* -algebra with arcwise connected automorphism group and X a space with a good base K . We denote the set of isomorphism classes of locally trivial, continuous C^* -bundles with fibre A by $\mathbf{bun}(X, A)$. In accord to [17, §7] and [10, §3.13], in the case of the n -spheres S^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we find

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{lc}(S^1, A) \simeq \mathbf{aut}^{\text{ad}} A, \mathbf{bun}(S^1, A) \simeq \pi_0(\mathbf{aut} A) = \{0\} \\ \mathbf{lc}(S^n, A) \simeq \{0\}, \mathbf{bun}(S^n, A) \simeq \pi_{n-1}(\mathbf{aut} A), \quad n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{aut}^{\text{ad}} A$ is the orbit space of $\mathbf{aut} A$ under the adjoint action.

3 Nets and presheaves of C^* -algebras

In this section we introduce some objects that will be used to connect nets with $C_0(X)$ -algebras. First, we define presheaves of C^* -algebras over posets, which become presheaves in the usual sense in the case of the presheaf of local sections of a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. Then we introduce the notion of heteromorphism from a net to a $C_0(X)$ -algebra: this will give the model of the way in which $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is connected to $\mathcal{A}$.

3.1 Presheaves of C^* -algebras

A *relaxed net of C^* -algebras* $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is defined in the same way as a net of C^* -algebras, with the difference that the fibres $\mathcal{A}_o$, $o \in K$, and the $*$ -monomorphisms $j_{o'o}$, $o \leq o'$, are not necessarily unital. A morphism between relaxed nets of C^* -algebras is defined in the same way of usual nets of C^* -algebras.

A *presheaf* of C^* -algebras is given by the triple $(\mathcal{A}, r)^K$, where K is a poset, $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathcal{A}_o\}$ a family of C^* -algebras and a family $r := \{r_{oo'} : \mathcal{A}_{o'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_o, o \leq o'\}$, $*$ -morphisms, called *restriction morphisms*, fulfilling the *presheaf relations*

$$r_{oo'} \circ r_{o'o''} = r_{oo''}, \quad o \leq o' \leq o''. \quad (3.1)$$

A *presheaf morphism* $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, r)^K \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', r')^K$ is a family of $*$ -morphisms $\phi = \{\phi^o \in (\mathcal{A}_o, \mathcal{A}'_o)\}$ fulfilling $\phi^o \circ r_{oa} = r'_{oa} \circ \phi^a$, for any $o \leq a$.

Remark 3.1. When K is a good base for the topology of a completely regular space presheaves of C^* -algebras are, in essence, in one-to-one correspondence with $C_0(X)$ -algebras: the basic idea is that the given presheaf can be regarded as *the* presheaf of local sections of a topological C^* -bundle, see [1, 9].

Heteromorphisms. Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a relaxed net and $(\mathcal{B}, r)^K$ a presheaf. A *heteromorphism* from $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ to $(\mathcal{B}, r)^K$ is given by a family $\pi = \{\pi_o : \mathcal{A}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_o\}$ of C^* -morphisms fulfilling

$$r_{oo'} \circ \pi_{o'} \circ j_{o'o} = \pi_o, \quad o \leq o'. \quad (3.2)$$

In the sequel, hetemorphisms shall be denoted by

$$\pi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrowtail (\mathcal{B}, r)^K,$$

emphasizing the "wrong-way functoriality" of the notion. Note that when each $r_{oo'}$ is invertible we have the C^* -net bundle $(\mathcal{B}, r^{-1})_K$ and we can regard π as a morphism of nets; thus the idea of heteromorphism into a presheaf is a natural generalization of the one of morphism into a C^* -net bundle.

Example 3.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra and K denote the poset of proper, closed ideals of A ordered under inclusion. We have the tautological relaxed net of C^* -algebras $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$, where $\mathcal{A}_I := I$, $I \in K$, and $j_{I'I}$ is the inclusion. We also have a presheaf $(M\mathcal{A}, r)^K$ having elements the multiplier algebras $M\mathcal{A}_I$, $I \in K$, with presheaf structure defined restricting the multiplier $F \in M\mathcal{A}_{I'}$ to $I \subseteq I'$ (in fact, it is easily verified that $Ft \in I$ for all $t \in \mathcal{A}_I$ and $F \in M\mathcal{A}_{I'}$). It is then clear that the family of inclusions $\pi_I : \mathcal{A}_I \rightarrow M\mathcal{A}_I$, $I \in K$, fulfils (3.2).

3.2 The presheaf defined by a $C_0(X)$ -algebra

In this section we consider the presheaf of C^* -algebras associated to a given $C_0(X)$ -algebra, and this will allow us to define heteromorphisms from nets to $C_0(X)$ -algebras.

Restriction morphisms. Given the $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$, for any open set $U \subset X$ we consider the closed ideal $C_0(U)\mathcal{B}$ generated by elements of the type fv , $f \in C_0(U)$, $v \in \mathcal{B}$. Let us consider the C^* -algebras $S_Y\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}/C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{B}$, $Y \in K$, and the corresponding quotients

$$r_Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow S_Y\mathcal{B} \quad , \quad Y \in K . \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $t, s \in \mathcal{B}$ and $Y \in K$. Then $r_Y(t) = r_Y(s)$ if, and only if, $r^x(t) = r^x(s)$ for any $x \in Y$.*

Proof. We have $r_Y(s) = r_Y(t)$ if and only if $t - s = fv$ for some $f \in C_0(X - \overline{Y})$, $v \in \mathcal{B}$, and this implies $r^x(t) - r^x(s) = f(x)r^x(v) = 0$, $\forall x \in \overline{Y}$, that is,

$$r^x(t) = r^x(s) \quad , \quad \forall x \in Y .$$

Conversely, let $t, s \in \mathcal{B}$ fulfilling the above relations. To prove the Lemma it suffices to verify that $v := t - s$ belongs to $C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{B}$. To this end, we note that $\|v\| = \sup_{x \in X - Y} \|r^x(v)\|$ and that, by upper-semicontinuity of n_v , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a closed $W \supset \overline{Y}$ such that $\sup_{x \in W} \|r^x(v)\| < \varepsilon$. Applying Uryshon Lemma we get a continuous map $f_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_\varepsilon|_Y = 0$, $f_\varepsilon|_{X - W} = 1$. Given an approximate unit $\{g_\lambda\} \subset C_0(X)$ we have $f_\varepsilon g_\lambda \in C_0(X - \overline{Y})$ for any λ . So, for any λ such that $g_\lambda|_W = 1$ and $\|v - g_\lambda v\| < \varepsilon$,

$$\|v - f_\varepsilon g_\lambda v\| \leq \|v - g_\lambda v\| + \|g_\lambda v - f_\varepsilon g_\lambda v\| = \|v - g_\lambda v\| + \sup_{x \in W - Y} \|r^x(v) - f_\varepsilon(x)r^x(v)\| < 2\varepsilon .$$

This proves that v can be approximated by elements of $C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{B}$, so $v \in C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{B}$. $\square$

Pullbacks of local sections. Local sections of $\mathcal{B}$ can be smoothed by continuous functions in such a way to define elements of $\mathcal{B}$ itself, as follows:

$$C_0(Y) \times S_Y\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad , \quad f, r_Y(t) \mapsto f \triangleright r_Y(t) := ft . \quad (3.4)$$

This operation is well-defined because $ft = ft'$ for any $t' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $r_Y(t) = r_Y(t')$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $w, w' \in S_Y\mathcal{B}$ such that $f \triangleright w = f \triangleright w'$ for all $f \in C_0(Y)$. Then $w = w'$.*

Proof. By the previous Lemma it suffices to check that $r^x(w) = r^x(w')$ for all $x \in Y$. To this end, given $x \in Y$ we take $f \in C_0(Y)$ such that $f(x) = 1$, so $r^x(f \triangleright w) = f(x)r^x(w) = r^x(w)$, and, in the same way, $r^x(f \triangleright w') = r^x(w')$. Since by hypothesis $r^x(f \triangleright w) = r^x(f \triangleright w')$ we find $r^x(w) = r^x(w')$, so $w = w'$ as desired. $\square$

The presheaf structure. Since the inclusion of ideals $C_0(X - \overline{Y'})\mathcal{B} \subseteq C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{B}$ holds for any $Y \subseteq Y'$, we have a $*$ -morphism $r_{YY'} : S_{Y'}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow S_Y\mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$r_{YY'} \circ r_{Y'} := r_Y, \quad Y \subseteq Y', \quad (3.5)$$

which obviously fulfils the presheaf relations $r_{YY''} = r_{YY'} \circ r_{Y'Y''}$, for any $Y \subseteq Y' \subseteq Y''$, and yield the presheaf $(S\mathcal{B}, r)^K$. Furthermore, for the same reason as in the previous definition, for any $x \in Y$ we have a $*$ -morphism $r_Y^x : S_Y\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ defined as

$$r_Y^x \circ r_Y := r^x, \quad x \in Y. \quad (3.6)$$

Concerning the functoriality of the above constructions, any $C_0(X)$ -morphism $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ induces in an obvious way the morphism

$$\phi^s : (S\mathcal{B}, r)^K \rightarrow (S\mathcal{B}', r')^K, \quad \phi^{s,Y} \circ r_Y := r'_Y \circ \phi, \quad Y \in K. \quad (3.7)$$

This leads to a functor from the category of $C_0(X)$ -algebras to the category of presheaves of C^* -algebras. About the functoriality of (3.4) we note that

$$\phi(f \triangleright r_Y(t)) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \phi(ft) = f\phi(t) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} f \triangleright r'_Y(\phi(t)) \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} f \triangleright \phi^{s,Y}(r_Y(t)) \in \mathcal{B}'. \quad (3.8)$$

Morphisms from nets to $C_0(X)$ -algebras. We conclude by giving the notion of *heteromorphism from a net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ to a $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$* , that is, a heteromorphism $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (S\mathcal{B}, r)^K$. The geometric content of ϕ is that any $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$, $Y \in K$, defines the local section $\phi_Y(t) \in S_Y\mathcal{B}$ that can be extended, using the net structure of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$, to the local section $\phi_{Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y}(t)$. To be concise, in the sequel ϕ will be denoted by

$$\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.9)$$

Compositions of (hetero)morphisms between nets and $C_0(X)$ -algebras shall be denoted, coherently with the above notation, as follows: let $(\mathcal{A}_0, j_0)_K$, $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be nets of C^* -algebras, let $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}'$ be $C_0(X)$ -algebras, and

$$\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad \eta : (\mathcal{A}_0, j_0)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, j)_K, \quad \psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$$

(hetero)morphisms. Then we define

$$\phi \circ \eta : (\mathcal{A}_0, j_0)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad (\phi \circ \eta)_Y := \phi_Y \circ \eta_Y, \quad Y \in K, \quad (3.10)$$

and using (3.7)

$$\psi^s \circ \phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}', \quad (\psi^s \circ \phi)_Y := \psi^{s,Y} \circ \phi_Y, \quad Y \in K. \quad (3.11)$$

4 From nets of C^* -algebras to $C_0(X)$ -algebras

In this section we prove our main result: we associate a $C_0(X)$ -algebra to a net of C^* -algebras and prove that this assignment is a functor. The assignment is not trivial when the net is non-degenerate and, in particular, is *faithful* in a suitable sense when the net is injective. Furthermore, we shall see that sections of the net induce multipliers of the corresponding $C_0(X)$ -algebra. We conclude by drawing some consequences on the representation theory of a net.

4.1 The $C_0(X)$ -algebra of a net

In the following lines we construct the $C_0(X)$ -algebra associated to a net of C^* -algebras and show that this assignment satisfies a universal property yielding a functorial structure.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net of C^* -algebras. For any $x \in X$, consider the set $\omega_x := \{Y \in K : x \in Y\}$ and the net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_{\omega_x}$ obtained by restricting $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ to ω_x . Denoting the universal C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_{\omega_x}$ by $\mathcal{A}_x$ (see §2.1), the canonical morphism $\epsilon^x : (\mathcal{A}, j)_{\omega_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ satisfies the relations

$$\epsilon_{Y'}^x \circ j_{Y'Y} = \epsilon_Y^x, \quad Y \subseteq Y', Y, Y' \in \omega_x. \quad (4.1)$$

The basic idea is that the $C_0(X)$ -algebra associated with the net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is generated by a suitable set of vector fields of the product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}^X := \prod_{x \in X} \mathcal{A}_x$ (i.e., the C^* -algebra of vector fields $t : x \mapsto t_x \in \mathcal{A}_x$ endowed with the $*$ -algebraic structure defined componentwise and the sup-norm $\|t\| := \sup_x \|t_x\|_x$).

To begin with, note that for any $Y \in K$ there is a morphism $\hat{\epsilon}_Y : \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ defined by

$$\hat{\epsilon}_Y(t)_x := \begin{cases} \epsilon_Y^x(t), & x \in Y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

for any $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. The $*$ -algebra generated by vector fields $\hat{\epsilon}_Y(t)$, as Y and t vary in K and $\mathcal{A}_Y$ respectively, is not closed under pointwise multiplication of continuous functions. So we smooth these fields as follows

$$(f \hat{\epsilon}_Y(t))_x := f(x) \hat{\epsilon}_Y(t)_x, \quad Y \in K, f \in C_0(Y), t \in \mathcal{A}_Y,$$

and define $\mathcal{A}_*$ to be the $*$ -algebra generated by the fields $f \hat{\epsilon}_Y(t)$ as Y, f and t vary in $K, C_0(Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y$ respectively. A generic element $\hat{t}$ has the form

$$\hat{t} = \sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i \in I_s} f_i \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_i}(t_i), \quad Y_i \in K, f_i \in C_0(Y_i), t_i \in \mathcal{A}_{Y_i},$$

where S and I_s , for $s \in S$, are set of indices having a finite cardinality. Note in particular that any $\hat{t}$ has a compact support since it is contained in $\cup_{s \in S} \cup_{i \in I_s} Y_i$ which is relatively compact. This implies that $\mathcal{A}_*$ is a non-degenerate $C_0(X)$ -module under pointwise multiplication, in fact for any approximate unit $\{f_\lambda\}$ of $C_c(X)$ the identity $f_\lambda \hat{t} = \hat{t}$ holds eventually. Finally, we define the C^* -algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_*^{-\|\cdot\|} \subset \mathcal{A}^X; \quad (4.3)$$

in words, $\mathcal{A}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{A}_*$ under the sup-norm in $\mathcal{A}^X$.

We shall see soon that $\mathcal{A}$ is indeed a $C_0(X)$ -algebra and yields an interpretation of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ as a net whose elements are local sections of $\mathcal{A}$ that can be extended by means of j . Before showing this, we need a preliminary observation.

Remark 4.1. We point out that the collection $\{\hat{\epsilon}_Y, Y \in K\}$ is not a morphism from the net $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_x$. In fact the relation $\hat{\epsilon}_Y \circ j_{YY'} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Y'}$ does not hold in general but only in restriction to Y' i.e.

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{Y'}(t)_x = \hat{\epsilon}_Y(j_{YY'}(t))_x, \quad x \in Y' \subseteq Y,$$

as can be easily seen by (4.1). This and the relations (4.1) imply

$$f \hat{\epsilon}_Y(t) = f \hat{\epsilon}_{Y'}(j_{YY'}(t)), \quad Y \subseteq Y', f \in C_0(Y), t \in \mathcal{A}_Y. \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ a net of C^* -algebras. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra endowed with a canonical heteromorphism*

$$\tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A} .$$

Proof. $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^* -algebra, so we verify that it is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. Note that, for any $f \in C_0(X)$, $\hat{t} \in \mathcal{A}_*$ it turns out $\|f\hat{t}\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x) \hat{t}_x\|_x \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\hat{t}\|$, so $C_0(X)$ acts by bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{A}$ and we can extend by continuity the $C_0(X)$ -action on $\mathcal{A}$. By density, it is easily seen that $C_0(X)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ by central multipliers. To prove that this action is non-degenerate we take $T \in \mathcal{A}$, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\hat{t}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_*$ such that $\|T - \hat{t}_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$. Given an approximate unit $\{f_\lambda\} \subset C_c(X)$ there is, as observed before, λ_ε such that $\hat{t}_\varepsilon = f_{\lambda_\varepsilon} \hat{t}_\varepsilon$ for all $\lambda > \lambda_\varepsilon$, so

$$\|T - f_\lambda T\| \leq \|T - \hat{t}_\varepsilon\| + \|f_\lambda(T - \hat{t}_\varepsilon)\| \leq 2\varepsilon .$$

This proves that the $C_0(X)$ -action is non-degenerate, so $\mathcal{A}$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra.

We now define the canonical morphism τ . Given $Y \in K$, consider $U \in K$ such that $\overline{Y} \subset U$ and a plateau $g \in C_0(U)$ such that $g = 1$ on Y , and define

$$\tau_Y(t) := r_Y(g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t))) , \quad t \in \mathcal{A}_Y ,$$

where we used the quotient $r_Y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\{C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{A}\}$. We prove that this definition is well posed. We first note that we have independence of the choice of the plateau, because if $h \in C_0(U)$ is such that $h = 1$ on Y , then

$$g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) - h \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) = (g - h) \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) \in C_0(X - \overline{Y})\mathcal{A} = \ker r_Y .$$

To prove that $\tau_Y(t)$ is independent of the choice of U we make the following remark. Consider $V \in K$ such that $\overline{Y} \subset V \subset U$ and observe that if $\tilde{g} \in C_0(V)$ then

$$\tilde{g} \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) = \tilde{g} \hat{e}_U(j_{UV} \circ j_{VY}(t)) \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \tilde{g} \hat{e}_V(j_{VY}(t)) . \quad (4.5)$$

We now can prove the independence of U . Given $U' \supseteq Y$ and $g' \in C_0(U')$ such that $g' = 1$ on Y , we note that we can always find V such that $\overline{Y} \subset V$, $\overline{V} \subset U \cap U'$, and $\tilde{g} \in C_0(V)$ such that $\tilde{g} = 1$ on Y . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) - g' \hat{e}_{U'}(j_{U'Y}(t)) &= \tilde{g} \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) - \tilde{g} \hat{e}_{U'}(j_{U'Y}(t)) + F \stackrel{(4.5)}{=} \\ &= \tilde{g} \hat{e}_V(j_{VY}(t)) - \tilde{g} \hat{e}_V(j_{VY}(t)) + F = F , \end{aligned}$$

where $F := (g - \tilde{g}) \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t)) + (g' - \tilde{g}) \hat{e}_{U'}(j_{U'Y}(t))$ is in $\ker r_Y$ by plateau independence. This proves that the definition of $\tau_Y : \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow S_Y \mathcal{A}$ is well posed and clearly it is a linear map. To prove that τ_Y is a $*$ -morphism we note that for any $t, s \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ we have

$$\tau_Y(t^* s) = r_Y(g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t^* s))) = r_Y(\sqrt{g} \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t))^* \sqrt{g} \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(s))) = \tau_Y(t)^* \tau_Y(s) ,$$

because of the independence of the choice of the plateau, since $\sqrt{g} \in C_0(U)$ and $\sqrt{g} = 1$ on Y . Finally, if $Y \subset Y'$ and $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ then

$$r_{YY'} \circ \tau_{Y'}(j_{Y'Y}(t)) = r_{YY'} \circ r_{Y'}(g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY'} \circ j_{Y'Y}(t))) \stackrel{(3.5)}{=} r_Y(g \hat{e}_U(j_{UY}(t))) = \tau_Y(t) ,$$

because U and g satisfies all the properties for the definition of τ_Y . This proves that τ is an heteromorphism as desired. $\square$

Remark 4.3. By the above construction it seems natural to identify the fibres $\mathcal{A}_x := \mathcal{A} / \{C_x(X)\mathcal{A}\}$, $x \in X$, with the C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_x$. Indeed, the fact that $\mathcal{A}_x$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_x$ shall be proved in the sequel, see Prop.4.5.

To describe explicitly the canonical heteromorphism we note that, for all $Y \in K$, $f \in C_0(Y)$ and $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$,

$$f \triangleright \tau_Y(t) = fg\widehat{\epsilon}_U(j_{UY}(t)) \stackrel{g|_{Y=1}}{=} f\widehat{\epsilon}_U(j_{UY}(t)) = f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t). \quad (4.6)$$

This also shows that τ has *dense image*, in the sense that the set

$$\{f \triangleright \tau_Y(t) : Y \in K, t \in \mathcal{A}_Y, f \in C_0(Y)\}$$

generates $\mathcal{A}$ as a C^* -algebra. We can now show a universal property of $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net of C^* -algebras. Then $\mathcal{A}$ fulfils the following universal property: for any heteromorphism $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ into a $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$, there is a unique $C_0(X)$ -morphism $\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi = \bar{\phi}^s \circ \tau$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, for any $Y \in K$ there is a morphism $\phi_Y : \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow S_Y \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi_Y = r_{YY'} \circ \phi_{Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y}$ for any inclusion $Y \subseteq Y'$, where $r_{YY'}$ is defined in (3.5). Let now $x \in X$; consider the morphism $\phi_Y^x : \mathcal{A}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ defined by

$$\phi_Y^x := r_Y^x \circ \phi_Y, \quad Y \in \omega_x,$$

where r_Y^x is the morphism defined by (3.6). The defining relations (3.5) and (3.6) imply that $r_{Y'}^x = r_Y^x \circ r_{YY'}$ for any $Y, Y' \in \omega_x, Y \subseteq Y'$, so

$$\phi_{Y'}^x \circ j_{Y'Y} = r_{Y'}^x \circ \phi_{Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y} = r_Y^x \circ r_{YY'} \circ \phi_{Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y} = r_Y^x \circ (r_{YY'} \circ \phi_{Y'} \circ j_{Y'Y}) = r_Y^x \circ \phi_Y = \phi_Y^x,$$

that is, we have a morphism from $(\mathcal{A}, j)_{\omega_x}$ into $\mathcal{B}_x$, inducing by universality a C^* -morphism $\phi^x : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$, for any $x \in X$, satisfying the relations

$$\phi^x \circ \epsilon_Y^x = \phi_Y^x = r_Y^x \circ \phi_Y, \quad Y \in \omega_x. \quad (4.7)$$

We now consider the $*$ -morphism

$$\phi_* : \mathcal{A}_* \rightarrow \prod_x \mathcal{B}_x, \quad \phi_*(t) := \{\phi^x(t_x)\}_{x \in X}, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{A}_*, \quad (4.8)$$

and show that $\phi_*(\mathcal{A}_*) \subseteq r(\mathcal{B})$, where r is defined in (2.5). It is enough to prove that for the generators of $\mathcal{A}_*$, i.e. for the fields $f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t)$, with $Y \in K, f \in C_0(Y), t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. To this end, we note that by construction $f \triangleright \phi_Y(t) \in \mathcal{B}$, and compute, for all $x \in X$,

$$r^x(f \triangleright \phi_Y(t)) = f(x) \cdot r_Y^x \circ \phi_Y(t) = f(x) \cdot \phi^x \circ \epsilon_Y^x(t) = \phi^x(\{f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t)\}_x),$$

that is, $r(\mathcal{B}) \ni r(f \triangleright \phi_Y(t)) = \phi_*(f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t))$ as desired. Since $\|\phi_*(t)\| = \sup_x \|\phi^x(t_x)\| \leq \sup_x \|t_x\| = \|t\|$ for all $t \in \mathcal{A}_*$, we can extend ϕ_* to $\mathcal{A}$ and define $\bar{\phi}(t) := r^{-1} \circ \phi_*(t)$. So, the above equalities read as

$$f \triangleright \phi_Y(t) = \bar{\phi}(f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t)). \quad (4.9)$$

To prove that $\bar{\phi}$ fulfils the desired universal property we note that

$$f \triangleright \phi_Y(t) = \bar{\phi}(f\widehat{\epsilon}_Y(t)) \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} \bar{\phi}(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t)) \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} f \triangleright \bar{\phi}^{s,Y}(\tau_Y(t))$$

for any $f \in C_0(Y)$, $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$, and the proof follows by Cor.3.4. Finally, to prove uniqueness we suppose that there is $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi = \eta^s \circ \tau$; in explicit terms, this means that $\phi_Y(t) = \eta^{s,Y} \circ \tau_Y(t)$, $\forall Y \in K$, $t \in \mathcal{A}_K$, but this implies $f \triangleright \bar{\phi}^{s,Y}(\tau_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \phi_Y(t) = f \triangleright \eta^{s,Y}(\tau_Y(t))$, for any $f \in C_0(Y)$. So, applying (3.8) we conclude that

$$\bar{\phi}(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \bar{\phi}^{s,Y}(\tau_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \eta^{s,Y}(\tau_Y(t)) = \eta(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t)) ,$$

for all $Y \in K$, $f \in C_0(Y)$, $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$, and uniqueness follows by density of τ . $\square$

Applying the previous theorem to $\tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ we find $\tau = \bar{\tau}^s \circ \tau$ and this implies, by density of τ , that $\bar{\tau}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{A}$. We now draw on a consequence of this fact. Let $e_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ be the *evaluation* morphism which is defined on the fields t generating $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$e_x(t) := t_x , \quad t \in \mathcal{A}_* ,$$

and extended by continuity on all $\mathcal{A}$. Then

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net of C^* -algebras. Then the equation (4.8) applied to the canonical heteromorphism $\tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defines an isomorphism $\tau^x : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_x$ satisfying the equation $r^x = \tau^x \circ e_x$ for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. As observed $id = \bar{\tau} = r^{-1} \circ \tau_*$ where τ_* is defined by (4.8). Since r^{-1} is an isomorphism we have that $r = \tau_*$. This equality amounts to saying that $r^x = \tau^x \circ e_x$ for any $x \in X$, and implies, since r^x is surjective, that τ^x is surjective. We now prove that τ^x is injective on $\mathcal{A}_x$. For, assume that $r^x(t) = 0$ for $t \in \mathcal{A}$. This is equivalent to saying that $t = f t'$ where $t' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in C_x(X)$ (so $f(x) = 0$). Let now t'_λ be a net of fields in $\mathcal{A}_*$ converging to t' . Then $f t'_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_*$ and converges to $f t' = t$, so $(f t'_\lambda)_x = f(x) t'_{\lambda,x} = 0$. Hence

$$e_x(t) = e_x(f t') = \lim_\lambda e_x(f t'_\lambda) = \lim_\lambda f(x) t'_{\lambda,x} = 0$$

and this shows that τ^x is injective, concluding the proof. $\square$

The universality property proved in the previous theorem is also useful to compute $\mathcal{A}$: in fact, to prove that a $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$ it suffices to verify that it pulls back any heteromorphism $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Example 4.6 (Including the case of nets on Minkowski spacetime). Let K be a base for X directed under inclusion (so that X is simply connected) and $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ a net of C^* -algebras over K . Then the universal algebra $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$ is the inductive limit $\lim_Y (\mathcal{A}_Y, j_{Y'Y})$, so we regard each $\mathcal{A}_Y$ as a C^* -subalgebra of $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$ and any $j_{Y'Y}$, $Y \subseteq Y'$, as the inclusion morphism. Let now $y \in X$ and $Y \in \omega_y$ (i.e. $y \in Y$); if $x \in X$ then there is Y' such that $x, y \in Y' \supseteq Y$ so, repeating the argument for all $Y \in \omega_y$, we conclude $\mathcal{A}_y \subseteq \mathcal{A}_x$. Reversing the argument, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_y = \mathcal{A}_x$ for all $x, y \in X$, and consequently $\vec{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}_x$ for all $x \in X$. Since we regard any $j_{Y'Y}$ as the inclusion, we have that any e_Y^x , $x \in Y$, is the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_Y \subseteq \vec{\mathcal{A}}$, so $\hat{t}_x = t$ for all $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $x \in Y$. Let now $\mathcal{A}' := C_0(X) \otimes \vec{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a heteromorphism. Then we set

$$\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B} , \quad \bar{\phi}(f \otimes t) := f \triangleright \phi_Y(t) , \quad f \in C_0(Y) , \quad t \in \mathcal{A}_Y \subseteq \vec{\mathcal{A}} .$$

It is easily verified that $\phi = \bar{\phi}^s \circ \tau$, and this implies $\mathcal{A} \simeq C_0(X) \otimes \vec{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 4.7. *If $\phi : (\mathcal{A}_1, j_1)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_2, j_2)_K$ is a morphism of nets of C^* -algebras then there is a $C_0(X)$ -morphism $\phi^\tau : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$, and this makes $\{(\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\}$ a functor.*

Proof. Let $\tau_k : (\mathcal{A}_k, j_k)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_k$, $k = 1, 2$, denote the canonical embeddings. Then we have the morphism

$$\phi' := \tau_2 \circ \phi : (\mathcal{A}_1, j_1)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 ,$$

inducing, by the previous Theorem, the $C_0(X)$ -morphism $\phi^\tau : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$, $\phi^\tau := \bar{\phi}'$. Again by the previous theorem, we find

$$(\phi^\tau)^s \circ \tau_1 = \phi' = \tau_2 \circ \phi , \quad (4.10)$$

From this equality and the density of the image of the canonical heteromorphism (4.6) we easily deduce the functoriality property $\{\phi \circ \chi\}^\tau = \phi^\tau \circ \chi^\tau$. $\square$

In the next result we determine how the fields generating $\mathcal{A}$ transform under the action of net morphisms:

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\phi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}', j')_K$ be a morphism of nets of C^* -algebras. Then*

$$\phi^\tau(f \hat{e}_Y(t)) = f \hat{e}'_Y(\phi_Y(t)) , \quad Y \in K, f \in C_0(Y), t \in \mathcal{A}_Y.$$

Proof. By (4.10) we have $\tau_Y' \circ \phi_Y(t) = \phi^{\tau, Y} \circ \tau_Y(t) \in S_Y \mathcal{A}'$ for all $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$, $Y \in K$. So applying (3.8) we find that $f \triangleright \tau_Y'(\phi_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \phi^{\tau, Y}(\tau_Y(t)) = \phi^\tau(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t))$ for any $f \in C_0(Y)$. This equality and the equation (4.6) imply that

$$\phi^\tau(f \hat{e}_Y(t)) = \phi^\tau(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \tau_Y'(\phi_Y(t)) = f \hat{e}'_Y(\phi_Y(t)) ,$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Sections. A *section* of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is defined as a collection $T := \{T_Y \in \mathcal{A}_Y\}_{Y \in K}$ satisfying the relation

$$T_Y = j_{YV}(T_V) , \quad V \leq Y .$$

Proposition 4.9. *Let T be a section of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$. Then $\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y) \in \mathcal{A}_x$ is independent of $Y \in \omega_x$ for any $x \in X$, and $\hat{T} := \{\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y)\}_x$ defines a multiplier of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let $Y, \tilde{Y} \in \omega_x$. Then there is $V \in \omega_x$ with $V \subseteq Y \cap \tilde{Y}$ and

$$\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y) = \epsilon_Y^x \circ j_{YV}(T_V) = \epsilon_V^x(T_V) = \epsilon_V^x \circ j_{\tilde{Y}V}(T_V) = \epsilon_{\tilde{Y}}^x(T_{\tilde{Y}}) ,$$

having applied (4.1). So $\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y) \in \mathcal{A}_x$ is independent of $Y \in \omega_x$ and the vector field $\hat{T} := \{\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y)\} \in \mathcal{A}_x$ is well defined. To verify that $\hat{T}$ defines a multiplier it suffices to make a check on the generators $f \hat{e}_Y(t)$, $f \in C_0(Y)$, $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$, $Y \in K$, of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\hat{T} \cdot f \hat{e}_Y(t) = \{\epsilon_Y^x(T_Y) \cdot f(x) \hat{e}_Y(t)_x\}_x = f \hat{e}_Y(T_Y t) \in \mathcal{A} .$$

In the same way we find $f \hat{e}_Y(t) \cdot \hat{T} \in \mathcal{A}$, so $\hat{T}$ is a multiplier as desired. $\square$

4.2 The case of injective nets

In the following lines we analyze the case of net bundles and, consequently, injective nets, that are those of interest in algebraic quantum field theory, see [20, 4].

Proposition 4.10. *Let X be paracompact. If $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is a C^* -net bundle with fibre A then $\mathcal{A}$ is a locally constant continuous bundle with fibre A .*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_Y = A$ for all $Y \in K$ and that $j_{Y'Y} \in \mathbf{aut} A$ for any $Y \subseteq Y'$ (see §2.1). Now, by definition the restriction $C_0(Y)\mathcal{A}$, $Y \in K$, is generated by elements of the type $f \widehat{e}_Y(t)$, $f \in C_0(V \cap Y)$, $t \in \mathcal{A}_V = A$, $V \in K$, $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. But since any $j_{Y'Y}$ is an isomorphism, we may take $U \in K$, $U \subseteq V \cap Y$, and write $t = j_{VU} \circ j_{UY}(t')$ for some $t' \in \mathcal{A}_Y = A$, so

$$C_0(Y)\mathcal{A} \sim \{f \widehat{e}_Y(t), f \in C_0(V \cap Y), t \in A = \mathcal{A}_Y, V \in K, V \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Given a locally finite cover $\{Y_\alpha\} \subset K$ we define the atlas

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\alpha : C_0(Y_\alpha)\mathcal{A} \rightarrow C_0(Y_\alpha) \otimes A, & \eta_\alpha(f \widehat{e}_{Y_\alpha}(t)) := f \otimes t, \\ \forall V \in K, f \in C_0(V \cap Y_\alpha), t \in A, \end{cases}$$

that is well-defined by injectivity of $\widehat{e}_{Y_\alpha}$. If $Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta \neq \emptyset$ then there is $U \in K$, $U \subseteq Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta$, so for any $f \in C_0(Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta)$ and $t \in A = \mathcal{A}_{Y_\beta}$ we find

$$\eta_\alpha \circ \eta_\beta^{-1}(f \otimes t) = \eta_\alpha(f \widehat{e}_U \circ j_{UY_\beta}(t)) = f \otimes \{j_{Y_\alpha U} \circ j_{UY_\beta}(t)\} = f \otimes \theta_{\alpha\beta}(t),$$

where

$$\theta_{\alpha\beta} := j_{Y_\alpha U} \circ j_{UY_\beta}, \quad U \subset Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta. \quad (4.11)$$

Clearly $\theta_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{aut} A$. Furthermore, since for any pair $U, V \subset Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta$ there is $W \subset U \cap V$, using the net relations one can prove that the definition $\theta_{\alpha\beta}$ is independent of the choice of U . In other terms $\{\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a set of locally constant transition maps for $\mathcal{A}$ and this concludes the proof ². $\square$

Remark 4.11. In the previous proof the transition maps of $\mathcal{A}$ are defined by means of (4.11) and this shows that $\mathcal{A}$ is the locally constant bundle associated with $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ in the sense of [17, Prop.33].

Example 4.12. Let $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$ be the C^* -net bundle of bounded linear operators of the Hilbert net bundle $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$; then the associated continuous C^* -bundle $\mathcal{BH}$ is locally constant and has fibre $B(\mathcal{H}_a)$. If $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ is the bundle of Hilbert spaces defined by $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$, then it is easily verified that $\mathcal{BH}$ is the continuous C^* -bundle defined by $\mathcal{H}$ in the sense of the following (4.13).

Proposition 4.13. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net of C^* -algebras.*

(i) *If $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is non-degenerate then $\mathcal{A}$ is non-trivial.*

(ii) *If $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is injective then $\tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. (i) By hypothesis there is a non-trivial representation $\pi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$, so there is $Y \in K$ and $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ such that $\pi_Y(t) \neq 0$. Let $\bar{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{BH}$ be the induced $C_0(X)$ -morphism. Then for any $f \in C_0(Y)$ we have $\bar{\pi}(f \widehat{e}_Y(t)) = f \triangleright \pi_Y(t)$ (see (4.9), and since $\pi_Y(t) \neq 0$ we conclude that $\bar{\pi}(f \widehat{e}_Y(t)) \neq 0$ as desired. (ii) Recall that injectivity amounts to saying that the net admits a faithful representation π (§2.1). So, assume that there is $t \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ such that $t \neq 0$ and $\tau_Y(t) = 0$. Since $\pi_Y(t) \neq 0$ the equation (4.9) implies that $f \triangleright \pi_Y(t) = \bar{\pi}(f \widehat{e}_Y(t)) \neq 0$ for any $f \in C_0(Y)$, $f \neq 0$. But $0 = \bar{\pi}(f \triangleright \tau_Y(t)) = \bar{\pi}(f \widehat{e}_Y(t))$, and this leads to a contradiction. So, $\tau_Y(t) \neq 0$ as desired. $\square$

²In [17] it is proved that $\{\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a Čech cocycle and indeed a complete invariant of the net bundle.

4.3 $C_0(X)$ -representations of nets

In the following lines we analyze the consequences of the previous results in the setting of representation theory on bundles of Hilbert spaces.

On nets of Hilbert spaces. Let H denote a separable Hilbert space. As we saw in Rem.2.3, we have a map

$$(\mathcal{H}, U)_K \mapsto (\mathcal{H}, \eta) \quad (4.12)$$

assigning to the Hilbert net bundle $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ with fibre H the locally constant Hilbert bundle $(\mathcal{H}, \eta)$. It is easily verified that (4.12) preserves tensor product and direct sums, nevertheless it is not full, since the image of the set of morphisms between Hilbert net bundles is the set of *locally constant* bundle morphisms. This fact can be illustrated using Rem.2.3: the set of isomorphism classes of Hilbert net bundles over K with fibre H is given by

$$\mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\pi_1^a(K), UH) \simeq \mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\pi_1(X), UH) ,$$

where $\mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is the orbit space of the set of homomorphisms under the adjoint action of UH and $x \in a \in K$. This set is, usually, drastically different from the set $H^1(X, UH)$ of isomorphism classes of locally trivial Hilbert bundles over X ; for example, when H is infinite dimensional, $H^1(X, UH)$ is always trivial by the Kuiper theorem, whilst $\mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\pi_1(X), UH)$ is typically huge when the homotopy group $\pi_1(X)$ is not trivial. Thus non-isomorphic Hilbert net bundles may define locally constant Hilbert bundles that become isomorphic in the larger category of Hilbert bundles (see [18] for details).

Example 4.14. (i) We take $X = S^1$ with K the base of intervals $Y \subset S^1$ such that $\bar{Y} \neq S^1$. Then $\pi_1^a(K) \simeq \pi_1(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\pi_1(S^1), UH) = \mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathbb{Z}, UH) = UH^{\mathrm{ad}} ,$$

whilst it is well-known ([10, §3.13]) that $H^1(S^1, UH) = \{0\}$. (ii) Take $X = S^2$ and K the base of disks $Y \subset S^2$ such that $\bar{Y} \neq S^2$. Then $\pi_1^a(K) = \{0\}$, so that $\mathrm{hom}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\pi_1(S^2), UH) = \{0\}$, whilst (by [10, §3.13] and, in the infinite dimensional case, the Kuiper theorem)

$$H^1(S^2, UH) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & , \dim H < \infty \\ \{0\} & , \dim H = \infty . \end{cases}$$

$C_0(X)$ -representations. Let $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ be a bundle of Hilbert spaces. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of continuous sections of $\mathcal{H}$ vanishing at infinity has an obvious structure of a Hilbert $C_0(X)$ -bimodule (with coinciding left and right $C_0(X)$ -actions). The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{KH}$ of compact right $C_0(X)$ -module operators of $\mathcal{H}$ is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, whilst the unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{BH}$ of right $C_0(X)$ -module adjointable operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is endowed with an embedding $C_0(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{BH}$, which, unless X is compact, is degenerate, that is,

$$\mathcal{BH} := C_0(X)\mathcal{BH} \subsetneq \mathcal{BH} \quad (4.13)$$

(for example, if $\mathcal{H} = X \times H$ then $\mathcal{KH} = C_0(X, K(H))$, $\mathcal{BH} = C_0(X, B(H))$ and $\mathcal{BH} = C_b(X, B(H))$, the C^* -algebra of bounded continuous maps from X to $B(H)$). Following Kasparov ([11]), given a $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ we call $C_0(X)$ -*representation* a $C_0(X)$ -morphism

$$\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{BH} \subseteq \mathcal{BH}$$

(when X is compact we take $\mathcal{BH}$ coinciding with $\mathcal{BH}$).

Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net with canonical morphism $\tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{BH}$ a $C_0(X)$ -representation. Applying (3.11), we obtain the heteromorphism

$$\pi_! := \pi \circ \tau : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{BH} .$$

We call $C_0(X)$ -representations of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ heteromorphisms with values in $\mathcal{BH}$. The previous results show that any $C_0(X)$ -representation of $\mathcal{A}$ induces a $C_0(X)$ -representation of $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$.

Let us now consider a representation

$$\pi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K . \quad (4.14)$$

Then by Ex.4.12 there is a bundle of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{BH}$ is the $C_0(X)$ -algebra of $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$, so we have the canonical morphism $\epsilon' : (\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{BH}$ which yields the $C_0(X)$ -representation

$$\pi_! := \epsilon' \circ \pi : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{BH} ,$$

inducing, by universality, the $C_0(X)$ -representation

$$\pi^\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{BH} \quad : \quad \pi^\tau \circ \epsilon = \pi_! . \quad (4.15)$$

So we may regard representations of the type (4.14) as particular cases of $C_0(X)$ -representations.

Remark 4.15. Let $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ denote a Hilbert net bundle defining the C*-net bundle $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$. The net structure $\text{ad}U$ clearly restricts to isomorphisms at the level of compact operators

$$\text{ad}U_{Y'Y} : K(\mathcal{H}_Y) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{H}_{Y'}) \quad , \quad Y \subseteq Y' ,$$

thus we have the C*-net subbundle $(\mathcal{KH}, \text{ad}U)_K$ of $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$ to which corresponds, by functoriality, the inclusion of $C_0(X)$ -algebras $\mathcal{KH} \subseteq \mathcal{BH}$. This implies that each $K(\mathcal{H}_Y)$, $Y \in K$, can be regarded as a set of local sections of $\mathcal{KH}$.

5 K -homology and index

In this section we define K -homology cycles for a net of C*-algebras, that we call *nets of Fredholm modules*, and show that these yield cycles in representable K -homology, i.e. continuous families of Fredholm modules. As mentioned in the introduction, our start point is the use of Fredholm modules made by Longo to describe sectors of a net ([13, §6]), an idea that has been developed in terms of nets of spectral triples for applications to conformal field theory ([5]).

Let K be a poset. The Hilbert net bundle $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ is said to be *graded* whenever there is a self-adjoint, unitary section $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_o \in U\mathcal{H}_o\}$,

$$\Gamma_{o'} U_{o'o} = U_{o'o} \Gamma_o \quad , \quad o \leq o' . \quad (5.1)$$

This clearly yields a direct sum decomposition $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K = (\mathcal{H}^+, U^+)_K \oplus (\mathcal{H}^-, U^-)_K$. Note that (5.1) is equivalent to saying that Γ is a section of $(\mathcal{BH}, \text{ad}U)_K$ inducing a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, thus we can consider the Banach net bundles of even/odd operators

$$(\mathcal{B}^\pm \mathcal{H}, \text{ad}U)_K \quad : \quad \text{ad}\Gamma_o(t_\pm) = \pm t_\pm \quad , \quad \forall t_\pm \in \mathcal{B}^\pm(\mathcal{H}_o) \quad , \quad \forall o \in K .$$

To be concise, we denote the family of inner automorphisms associated to Γ by $\gamma = \{\gamma_o := \text{ad}\Gamma_o\}$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a net of C^* -algebras endowed with a period 2 automorphism $\beta : (\mathcal{A}, j)_K \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ (in this case we say that $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ is *graded*), and π a representation over the graded Hilbert net bundle $(\mathcal{H}, U; \Gamma)_K$. We say that π is *graded* whenever

$$\gamma_o \circ \pi_o = \pi_o \circ \beta_o \quad , \quad \forall o \in K . \quad (5.2)$$

The following definitions take into account the fact that each $\mathcal{A}_o$, $o \in K$, is unital; their formulation in the non-unital case may be given with the obvious modifications.

Definition 5.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a graded net of C^* -algebras. A **net of Fredholm modules** over $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ or, to be concise, a **Fredholm $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ -module**, is a triple $(\pi, U; F)$, where: (i) π is a nondegenerate, graded representation on the graded Hilbert net bundle $(\mathcal{H}, U; \Gamma)_K$; (ii) $F = \{F_o\}$ is a section of $(\mathcal{B}^-\mathcal{H}, \text{ad}U)_K$ (i.e. $\text{ad}U_{o'o}(F_o) = F_{o'}$ and $\gamma_o(F_o) = -F_o$, for all $o \leq o'$) such that*

$$F_o - F_o^* , \quad F_o^2 - 1_o , \quad [F_o, \pi_o(t)] \in K(\mathcal{H}_o) \quad , \quad \forall o \in K , \quad t \in \mathcal{A}_o . \quad (5.3)$$

We denote the set of Fredholm $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ -modules by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$. As customary for ordinary Fredholm modules, we say that $(\pi, U; F)$ is even/odd whenever $\pi_o(\mathcal{A}_o) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^\pm \mathcal{H}_o$, $\forall o \in K$ (note that the even case arises for $\beta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$).

We now pass to the topological case and assume that K is a good base for a σ -compact metrizable space X . For notation and terminology on representable K -homology we refer the reader to the appendix §A. In the next results we interpret Fredholm $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ -modules in terms of continuous families of Fredholm operators.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ be a Hilbert net bundle and T a section of $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}, \text{ad}U)_K$. Then the operator $\hat{T}$ defined as in Prop.4.9 is in $\mathcal{B}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ denote the locally constant Hilbert bundle defined by $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$. It is well-known that the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{B}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, so to prove the Lemma it suffices to verify that the vector field $\hat{T}$ defines a multiplier of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}$. To this end we consider generators $f \hat{e}'_Y(t)$ of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}$, with $t \in K(\mathcal{H}_Y)$, $f \in C_0(Y)$, $Y \in K$, and compute

$$\hat{T} \cdot f \hat{e}'_Y(t) = \{\epsilon'_Y(T_Y) \cdot f(x) \hat{e}'_Y(t)_x\}_x = f \hat{e}'_Y(T_Y t) ;$$

since $T_Y t \in K(\mathcal{H}_Y)$ we find $\hat{T} \cdot f \hat{e}'_Y(t) \in \mathcal{K}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, and this proves $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{B}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as desired. $\square$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ be a graded net of C^* -algebras. Then any Fredholm $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ -module $(\pi, U; F)$ defines a cycle in the representable K -homology $RK^0(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. We start observing that, $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ being a functor, the $C_0(X)$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is graded by $\beta^\tau \in \text{aut}\mathcal{A}$. By (4.15) there is a locally constant Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $(\mathcal{H}, U)_K$ and a $C_0(X)$ -representation

$$\pi^\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H} .$$

By Lemma 5.2, Γ and F yield operators $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{F} \in \mathcal{B}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, with $\hat{\Gamma}$ unitary and self-adjoint. Now, $\mathcal{A}$ is generated as a closed vector space by monomials of the type

$$T := f_1 \hat{e}_{Y_1}(t_1) \cdots f_n \hat{e}_{Y_n}(t_n) \quad , \quad Y_k \in K , \quad f_k \in C_0(Y_k) , \quad t_k \in \mathcal{A}_{Y_k} ;$$

note that, by linearity, we may assume that $f_k \geq 0$ for all k . We now verify the relations (A.1), starting from the commutativity up to compact operators with elements of $\pi^\tau(\mathcal{A})$; to this end, by

linearity and density it suffices to verify on elements of the type T , and we proceed inductively on the length n . As a first step we denote the generators of $\mathcal{BH}$ by $f \hat{\epsilon}'_Y(T)$, $f \in C_0(Y)$, $T \in \mathcal{BH}_Y$, and compute (assuming $f_1 \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(f_1 \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1))] &= [f_1^{1/2} \hat{F}, \pi^\tau(f_1^{1/2} \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1))] = \\
&= [f_1^{1/2} \hat{\epsilon}'_{Y_1}(F_{Y_1}), \pi^\tau(f_1^{1/2} \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1))] \stackrel{Cor.4.8}{=} \\
&= [f_1^{1/2} \hat{\epsilon}'_{Y_1}(F_{Y_1}), f_1^{1/2} \hat{\epsilon}'_{Y_1}(\pi_{Y_1}(t_1))] = \\
&= f_1 \hat{\epsilon}'_{Y_1}([F_{Y_1}, \pi_{Y_1}(t_1)]) \stackrel{(5.3)}{\in} \mathcal{KH}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now assume that T is a monomial of length $n > 1$, define $T_{\geq i} := f_i \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_i}(t_i) \cdots f_n \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_n}(t_n)$, $i \geq 2$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(T)] = \\
&[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(f_1 \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1)) \cdot \pi^\tau(T_{\geq 2})] = \\
&[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(f_1 \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1))] \pi^\tau(T_{\geq 2}) + \pi^\tau(f_1 \hat{\epsilon}_{Y_1}(t_1)) [\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(T_{\geq 2})].
\end{aligned}$$

By induction the two summands of the previous expression belong to $\mathcal{KH}$, and this proves that $[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(T)] \in \mathcal{KH}$. By linearity and density, we conclude that $[\hat{F}, \pi^\tau(T)] \in \mathcal{KH}$, $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}$. The other relations in (A.1) defining a Kasparov module are checked in the same way using (5.3), and the theorem is proved. $\square$

The previous result yields the desired geometric interpretation of objects, nets of Fredholm modules, that a priori are defined in terms of the abstract poset K .

From this point of view we consider Theorem 5.3 satisfactory at the conceptual level, nevertheless we would like to point out that aspects related to the holonomy need a further investigation. To illustrate this point we consider the case $X = S^1$. By the Kuiper theorem we have that the Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H}$ of the previous theorem is trivial, so we may regard $\hat{F}$ as a continuous map

$$\hat{F} : S^1 \rightarrow F(H),$$

where $F(H) \subset B(H)$ is the space of Fredholm operators endowed with the norm topology. By the Atiyah-Janich theorem $\hat{F}$ yields an element $ind(\hat{F})$ of the K -theory $K^0(S^1)$, that is exactly the product (A.2) of $[\mathcal{A}]$, the K -class of the free, rank one right Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module, by the Kasparov module $(\pi^\tau, \hat{F})$. But, as well-known, $K^0(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$, so $ind(\hat{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields exactly the kind of invariant that we would obtain taking π as a Hilbert space representation and $\hat{F}$ as a constant map.

The reason of this fact relies in Ex.4.14(i). When we compute $ind(\hat{F})$ we *forget* the essential information of holonomy, without which any locally constant bundle on S^1 appears simply as a trivial bundle. So we need a tool that takes account of such a structure, that is, the equivariant K -homology of the holonomy dynamical system defined by $(\mathcal{A}, j)_K$ (see [20, §3.3]), that we study in [22].

A Basics of representable KK -theory

For reader convenience in this section we recall some notions of representable KK -theory (see [11, §2] for details).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. A grading on $\mathcal{A}$ is given by a $C_0(X)$ -automorphism γ of $\mathcal{A}$ with period 2; a $C_0(X)$ -morphism between graded $C_0(X)$ -algebras is said to be graded whenever it intertwines the relative automorphisms.

Given the graded $C_0(X)$ -algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \gamma')$, a Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -module H is said to be graded whenever, for all $v, w \in H$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a linear map $\Gamma : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\Gamma(vb) = (\Gamma v)\gamma'(b) \quad , \quad (\Gamma v, \Gamma w) = \gamma'(v, w) \in \mathcal{B} \quad .$$

Let now $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be graded $C_0(X)$ -algebras, eventually endowed with the trivial grading. A *Kasparov* $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule, denoted by (η, ϕ) , is given by: (i) a graded Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule H carrying a graded representation $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ (here $B(H)$ is the C^* -algebra of adjointable, right $\mathcal{B}$ -module operators), such that

$$\eta(fa)vb = \eta(a)v(fb) \quad , \quad \forall v \in H \quad , \quad a \in \mathcal{A} \quad , \quad b \in \mathcal{B} \quad , \quad f \in C_0(X) \quad .$$

(ii) an operator $\phi \in B(H)$ such that

$$(\phi - \phi^*)\eta(t) \quad , \quad (\phi^2 - 1)\eta(t) \quad , \quad [\phi, \eta(t)] \in K(H) \quad , \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{A} \quad , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $K(H) \subseteq B(H)$ is the ideal of compact $\mathcal{B}$ -module operators. We denote the set of *Kasparov* $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodules by $E(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. When $\mathcal{B} = C_0(X)$, H is, in essence, a (separable) continuous field of Hilbert spaces.

We say that $(\eta_0, \phi_0), (\eta_1, \phi_1) \in E(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ are homotopic whenever there is $(\eta, \phi) \in E(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \otimes C([0, 1]))$ such that $(\eta_0, \phi_0), (\eta_1, \phi_1)$ are obtained by applying to (η, ϕ) the evaluation morphism $\mathcal{B} \otimes C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ over $0, 1 \in [0, 1]$ respectively.

The representable KK -theory $RKK(X; \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is defined as the abelian group of homotopy classes of Kasparov $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodules w.r.t. the operation of direct sum. In particular, we define

$$RK^0(\mathcal{A}) := RKK(X; \mathcal{A}, C_0(X)) \quad , \quad RK_0(\mathcal{A}) := RKK(X; C_0(X), \mathcal{A}) \quad ;$$

these groups are called the *representable K -homology of $\mathcal{A}$* and, respectively, the *representable K -theory of $\mathcal{A}$* . By [11, Prop.2.21], the Kasparov product induces the pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : RK_0(\mathcal{A}) \times RK^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow RK^0(X) := RK_0(C(X)) \quad , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in essence the map defined by the index of continuous families of Fredholm operators. When X is compact $RK^0(X)$ is the topological K -theory (see [11, Prop.2.20]).

References

- [1] P. Ara, M. Mathieu: Sheaves of C^* -algebras, Math.Nachr. 1, 21-39 (2010)
- [2] E. Blanchard, Déformations de C^* -algèbres de Hopf, Bull. Soc. Math. France 124 (1996), 141-215.
- [3] R. Brunetti, L. Franceschini and V. Moretti: Topological Features of Massive Bosons on Two-Dimensional Einstein Space-Time, Annales Henri Poincaré Volume 10(6) (2009), 1027-1073.
- [4] R. Brunetti, G. Ruzzi: Quantum charges and spacetime topology: The emergence of new superselection sectors. Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009), no. 2, 523563. Available as arXiv:0801.3365v2 [math-ph]

- [5] S.Carpi, R.Hillier, Y.Kawahigashi, R.Longo, Spectral triples and the super-Virasoro algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **295** (2010) no. 1, 71-97, [arXiv:0811.4128 \[math.OA\]](#).
- [6] J. Cheeger, J. Simons: Differential characters and geometric invariants, from: *Geometry and Topology*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1167, Springer, Berlin (1985) 50-80.
- [7] J. Dixmier: *C*-algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam - New York - Oxford, 1977.
- [8] K. Fredenhagen: Generalizations of the theory of superselection sectors. The algebraic theory of superselection sectors (Palermo, 1989), 379-387, *World Sci. Publ.*, River Edge, NJ (1990).
- [9] K.H.Hofmann: Bundles and sheaves are equivalent in the category of Banach spaces, in: *Proceedings of the International Conference on K-theory and Operator Algebras*, LNM 575, 53-69 (1977).
- [10] M. Karoubi, *K-theory: an Introduction*, (2008) Springer Verlag.
- [11] G.G. Kasparov, Equivariant *KK*-Theory and the Novikov Conjecture, *Inv. Math.* **91**, 147-201 (1988)
- [12] S. Kobayashi: *Differential Geometry of complex vector bundles*, Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [13] R. Longo, Notes for a quantum index theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **222** (2001), no.1, 45-96. [arXiv math.OA/0003082](#).
- [14] M. Nilsen: *C*-bundles and $C_0(X)$ -algebras*. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **45** (1996), no. 2, 463-477.
- [15] J. E. Roberts: *Lectures on algebraic quantum field theory. The algebraic theory of superselection sectors*. (Palermo, 1989), 1-112, *World Sci. Publ.*, River Edge, NJ, 1990.
- [16] G. Ruzzi: Homotopy of posets, net-cohomology and superselection sectors in globally hyperbolic spacetimes, *Rev.Math.Phys.* **17** (2005) 1021-1070.
- [17] J.E.Roberts, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: A theory of bundles over posets. *Adv. Math.* **220**(1) (2009) 125-153. Available as [arXiv:0707.0240v1 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [18] J.E.Roberts, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: Net bundles over posets and *K*-theory. To appear on *Int.J.Math.*, Available as [arXiv:0802.1402 \[math.KT\]](#).
- [19] G.Ruzzi, E.Vasselli, A Chern character for superselection sectors, in preparation.
- [20] G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli. A new light on nets of *C**-algebras and their representations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **312**, (2012), no. 3, 655-694 . Available as [arXiv:1005.3178v2 \[math.OA\]](#).
- [21] G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: Representations of Nets of *C**-Algebras over S^1 . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **316** (2012), no. 1, 127-149. Available as [arXiv:1104.3527v1 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [22] G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli. The *K*-homology of nets of *C**-algebras, soon on [arXiv](#).